



Registered with the Registrar  
of Newspapers for India  
under No. 10410

# புதுச்சேரி மாநில அரசிதழ்

## La Gazette de L'État de Poudouchéry

### The Gazette of Puducherry

PART - I

சிறப்பு வெளியீடு

EXTRAORDINAIRE

EXTRAORDINARY

அதிகாரம் பெற்ற  
வெளியீடு

Publiée par  
Autorité

Published by  
Authority

எண்	புதுச்சேரி	வியாழக்கிழமை	2026	மார்ச்	19
No. } 62	Poudouchéry	Jeudi	19	Mars	2026 (28 Phalguna 1947)
No. }	Puducherry	Thursday	19th	March	2026

IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH) AT PUDUCHERRY

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,  
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 10/2026 of I.R. No. 112/2025 Under Section 163 BNSS/548

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State represented by the Station House Officer,  
Lawspet Police Station,  
Puducherry.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Karunan @ Thirunavukarasu @ Ondrakannu (41),  
S/o. Ramalingam,  
No. 01, 9th Cross,  
Samipillaithottam,  
Lawspet,  
Puducherry.

.. Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Karunan @ Thirunavukarasu @ Ondrakannu (41), son of Ramalingam, a resident of No. 01, 9th Cross, Samipillaithottam, Lawspet, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case was referred by the Station House Officer, Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry, with the recommendation of the Superintendent of Police (North), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 112/2025 under section 163 BNSS, dated 05-12-2025.

4. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crimes such as violence, intimidation, assault, disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

5. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants and the business people in the Lawspet Police Station limits. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases, were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

Sl. No.	Crime No.	Sections of Law	Police Station
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	89/2006	147, 148, 341, 232, 302 IPC r/w 149 IPC	Kottakuppam Police Station, Tamil Nadu
2	41/2008	323, 324, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC	Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry
3	206/2009	323, 427, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC	Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry
4	76/2011	506, 507 IPC r/w 34 IPC	Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry
5	123/2011	147, 148, 449, 302 IPC r/w 149 IPC	Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry
6	305/2011	27 Arms Act, 1959 & 34 B(ix) of PP Act	Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry
7	110/2013	27 Arms Act, 1959 & 34 B(ix) of PP Act	Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry
8	134/2014	302 IPC r/w 34 IPC @ 147, 148, 452, 302 IPC r/w 149 IPC.	Reddiyarpalayam Police Station, Puducherry
9	51/2016	188 IPC	Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry
10	76/2025	191(2), 191(3), 103(1), 109(1) BNS r/w 190 BNS @ 191(2), 191(3), 49, 103(1), 109(1), 61,49, 238 BNS r/w 190 BNS, dated 27-04-2025.	Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry

6. It is further stated in the I.R that the Counter Petitioner is young and very active notorious rowdy element and have become a threat and menace to the innocent people and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

7. The Petitioner further submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Lawspet limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like assault, criminal intimidation, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

8. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 27-02-2026 to appear for the hearing on 06-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. and further hearing on 13-03-2026. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his wife, Tmt. Poornima. The service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

9. During the course of enquiry on 13-03-2026, the Petitioner, Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing dates.

10. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records placed before me. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He was involved in crimes such as murder, assault, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in various Police Stations.

11. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner and the recommendation of the Superintendent of Police (North), I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, assault, obstructions, annoyance, damages to public and private properties, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

12. Hence, I, Thiru Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163(1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Karunan @ Thirunavukarasu @ Ondrakannu (41), son of Ramalingam, a resident of No. 01, 9th Cross, Samipillaithottam, Lawspet, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this Order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except, when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 13th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

**DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,**  
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH) AT PUDUCHERRY**

*Present* : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,  
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**M.C. No. 11/2026 of I.R. No. 05/2026 Under Section 163 BNSS/551**

**ORDER**

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State represented by the Station House Officer,  
Odiansalai Police Station,  
Puducherry.

.. Petitioner

*Vs.*

Thiru Prem @ Premkumar (42),  
S/o. Balasubramanian,  
No. 53, Fransuva Thoppu,  
Vanarapet,  
Puducherry.

.. Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Prem @ Premkumar (42), son of Balasubramanian, a resident of No. 53, Fransuva Thoppu, Vanarapet, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (East), Puducherry vide I.R.No.05/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 03-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner have indulging in crime such as disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a young and energetic rowdy element and shows his muscle power by committing offences for petty reasons. He is very active and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

Sl. No.	Crime No.	Sections of Law	Police Station
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	126/1999	379 IPC r/w 34 IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry
2	35/2001	34 B(IX) of PP Act	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry
3	136/2001	147, 148, 302 IPC r/w 149 IPC	Orleanpet Police Station, Puducherry
4	75/2002	27 of Arms Act, 1959 r/w 34 IPC	Mudaliarpet Police Station, Puducherry
5	361/2002	323, 324, 506(i) IPC r/w 34 IPC	Grand Bazaar Police Station, Puducherry
6	03/2005	309 IPC r/w 34 IPC	Grand Bazaar Police Station, Puducherry
7	210/2012	384, 109 IPC r/w 34 IPC	Muthialpet Police Station, Puducherry
8	72/2013	448, 188, 336 IPC r/w 34 IPC & Sec. 42 of Prisoners Act	Kalapet Police Station, Puducherry
9	120/2019	387, 507 IPC r/w 34 IPC	Reddiarpalayam Police Station, Puducherry
10	162/2020	42 of Prisoners Act	Kalapet Police Station, Puducherry
11	296/2022	34D(l) of PP Act	Grand Bazaar Police Station, Puducherry

4. It is also stated in the I.R that the Counter Petitioner is having close contact with other rowdy elements. The Petitioner also stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in the village, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like riot, affray, criminal intimidation, criminal trespass, assault, obstructions and annoyance, danger to the human life and properties and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and tranquility. Hence, the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 27-02-2026 to appear for the hearing on 06-03-2026 and further hearing on 13-03-2026. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry. The notice was received by the Counter Petitioner.

7. During the course of enquiry on 13-03-2026, the Petitioner and the Counter Petitioner were present.

8. I have heard both the sides and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner is in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as hurt, murder and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in various Police Stations. The Counter Petitioner has stated that the Petitioner has filed this false case with an ulterior motive. The Counter Petitioner further submitted that his male child had died on 13-02-2026, ten days after birth. He also stated that his another son's marriage reception is scheduled to be held on 26-03-2026 in the Uppalam Constituency area. Hence, he requested to attend his son's marriage reception at the Uppalam Constituency area only on that particular day (26-03-2026). In this regard, the Counter Petitioner has filed a written submission. I have also heard the oral and written submissions of the Counter Petitioner.

9. Upon hearing both sides and on perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like assault, damages to public and private properties, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order.

10. Hence, I, Thiru Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163(1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Prem @ Premkumar (42), son of Balasubramanian, a resident of No. 53, Fransuva Thoppu, Vanarapet, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order except on 26-03-2026 from 10.00 a.m. to 10.00 p.m. (in order to attend his another son's marriage reception at Uppalam Constituency area) or till any further orders (in view of GEPLA - 2026) are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 13th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

**DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,**  
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH) AT PUDUCHERRY**

*Present* : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,  
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**M.C. No. 12/2026 of I.R. No. 06/2026 Under Section 163 BNSS/550**

**ORDER**

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State represented by the Station House Officer,  
Odiansalai Police Station,  
Puducherry.

.. Petitioner

*Vs.*

Thiru Soundarapandian @ Gopalakrishnan (36),  
S/o. Baskar @ Kandasamy,  
No. 19, Lazar Koil Street,  
Duburayapet,  
Puducherry.

.. Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Soundarapandian @ Gopalakrishnan (36), son of Baskar @ Kandasamy, a resident of No. 19, Lazar Koil Street Duburayapet, Puducherry .

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (East), Puducherry vide I.R.No. 06/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 03-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has indulging in crime such as disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a young and energetic rowdy element and shows his muscle power by committing offences for petty reasons. He is very active and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

Sl. No.	Crime No.	Sections of Law	Police Station
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	46/2009	323, 294, 506(ii) r/w 34 IPC @ 143, 324, 323, 294, 506(ii) r/w 149 IPC.	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry
2	193/2011	294, 506(i) r/w 34 IPC @ 326, 323, 506(i) r/w 34 IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry
3	396/2011	326, 323, 506(i) r/w 34 IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry
4	18/2012	147, 148, 452, 427, 324, 506(ii), 109 r/w 149 IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry
5	82/2013	147, 148, 307, 109 r/w 149 IPC & Sec. 3 & 4 of Explosive Substances Act.	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry.
6	13/2015	147, 148, 302, 307 IPC r/w 149 IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry
7	72/2021	507 IPC, 20-06-2021	Reddiarpalayam Police Station, Puducherry
8	389/2020	143, 147, 120(b), 148, 341, 324, 364, 302 IPC r/w 149 IPC, dated 05-10-2020.	Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry
9	170/2021	294(b), 323, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC, dated 28-07-2022	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry
10	250/2025	27(1) of Arms Act, 1959 & 132 BNS, dated 21-11-2025	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry

4. It is also stated in the I.R that the Counter Petitioner is having close contact with other rowdy elements. The Petitioner also stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in the village, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like riot, affray, criminal intimidation, criminal trespass, assault, obstructions and annoyance, danger to the human life and properties and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and tranquility. Hence, the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties, and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 27-02-2026 to appear for the hearing on 06-03-2026 and subsequent hearing on 13-03-2026. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry. The notice was received by the Counter Petitioner.

7. During the course of enquiry on 13-03-2026, the Petitioner and the Counter Petitioner were present. The Ld. Counsel Mrs. A. Poulina Saral filed vakalath and represented on behalf of the Counter Petitioner.

8. I have heard both the sides and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police information report / petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as hurt, murder and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in various Police Stations. I have also heard the oral submissions of the Counter Petitioner. The Counter Petitioner has stated that the Petitioner has filed this false case with an ulterior motive.

9. Upon hearing both sides and on perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, assault, damages to public and private properties, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens, and uphold public peace and order.

10. Hence, I, Thiru Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163(1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Soundarapandian @ Gopalakrishnan (36), son of Baskar @ Kandasamy, a resident of No. 19, Lazar Koil Street Duburayapet, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 13th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

**DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,**  
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH) AT PUDUCHERRY**

*Present* : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,  
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**M.C. No. 13/2026 of I.R. No. 07/2026 Under Section 163 BNSS/549**

**ORDER**

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State represented by the Station House Officer,  
Odiansalai Police Station,  
Puducherry.

.. Petitioner

*Vs.*

Thiru Dhandapani (37),  
S/o, Vellikannu,  
No. 72, Kallarai Road,  
Vanarapet,  
Puducherry.

.. Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Dhandapani (37), son of Vellikannu, a resident of No. 72, Kallarai Road, Vanarapet, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (East), Puducherry vide I.R.No. 07/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 04-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner have indulging in crime such as disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a young and energetic rowdy element and shows his muscle power by committing offences for petty reasons. He is very active and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

Sl. No.	Crime No. and Year	Sections of Law	Police Station	Status
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	17/2007	341,324, 323 r/w 34 IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
2	196/2007	336, 427 r/w 34 IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
3	08/2008	324 r/w 34 IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
4	32/2008	307 r/w 34 IPC	Mudaliarpot Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
5	122/2008	324, 323 r/w 34 IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
6	34/2009	25(1 )(A) of Arms Act & 506(ii) IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
7	254/2009	27 of Arms Act & 34 B(ix) of PP Act	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
8	82/2011	294, 506(ii) IPC & 27(i) of Arms Act	Orleanpet Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
9	234/2011	147, 148, 302, 307, 452, 109 r/w 149 IPC	Mudaliarpot Police Station, Puducherry	Pending Trial
10	50/2012	27 of Arms Act & 34 BIX of PP Act	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
11	61/2012	399, 402 IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
12	91/2012	147, 148, 341, 332, 333, 302, 307, 109 IPC & Sec. 3 Explosive Substances Act, 1908 r/w 149 IPC & Sec. 3 P.D.P.P Act, 1984.	Thavalakuppam Police Station, Puducherry.	Acquitted
13	248/2012	143, 506(2) r/w 149 IPC	Grand Bazar Police Station, Puducherry	Pending Trial
14	24/2013	294, 506(2) r/w 34 IPC	Orleanpet Police Station, Puducherry	Acquitted
15	128/2015	399, 402, 188 IPC	D-Nagar Police Station, Puducherry	Pending Trial
16	32/2013	353 IPC & Sec 42 of Prison Act, 1894	Kalapet Police Station, Puducherry	Pending Trial
17	193/2016	143, 387 IPC & 109, 120(B) IPC	Orleanpet Police Station, Puducherry	Under Investigation
18	211/2022	27 of Arms Act & 506(ii) IPC	Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry	Pending Trial

4. It is also stated in the I.R that the Counter Petitioner is having close contact with other rowdy elements. The Petitioner also stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in the village, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like riot, affray, criminal intimidation, criminal trespass, assault, obstructions and annoyance, danger to the human life and properties and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and tranquility. Hence, the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 27-02-2026 to appear for the hearing on 06-03-2026 and further hearing of 13-03-2026. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Odiansalai Police Station, Puducherry. The notice was received by the Counter Petitioner.

7. During the course of enquiry on 13-03-2026, the Petitioner and the Counter Petitioner were present.

8. I have heard both the sides and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as hurt, murder and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in various Police Stations. I have also heard the written submissions of the Counter Petitioner. The Counter Petitioner has stated that the Petitioner has filed this false case with an ulterior motive.

9. Upon hearing both sides and on perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, assault, damages to public and private properties, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens, and uphold public peace and order.

10. Hence, I, Thiru Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163(1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Dhandapani (37), son of Vellikannu, a resident of No. 72, Kallarai Road, Vanarapet, Puducherry from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 13th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

**DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,**  
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).